UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

	00 00 10- 1701
United States of America,) Case No. 25-(R- 00130)(FL
Plaintiff, v. Hy6 Polic) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 16,2015, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 16,2015 to 10,2015 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the por law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, lue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case com See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance woul necessary for effective preparation, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the partithe time limits for a preliminary heatending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ies' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending aring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for or an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the d. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	O_{α}
DATED: May 16, 2025	
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	21/1/2
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney